arXiv:1407.8144v2 [hep-th] 28 Aug 2014

Space from String Bits

Charles B. Thorn*

Institute for Fundamental Theory
Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

Abstract

We develop superstring bit models, in which the lightcone transverse coordinates in D spacetime dimensions are replaced with $d = D - 2$ double-valued "flavor" indices $x^k \to f_k = 1, 2;\ k = 2, \ldots, d + 1$. In such models the string bits have no space to move. Letting each string bit be an adjoint of a "color" group $U(N)$, we then analyze the physics of 't Hooft's limit $N \to \infty$, in which closed chains of many string bits behave like free lightcone IIB superstrings with d compact coordinate bosonic worldsheet fields x^k, and s pairs of Grassmann fermionic fields $\theta^a_{L,R}$, $a = 1, \ldots, s$. The coordinates x^k emerge because, on the long chains, flavor fluctuations enjoy the dynamics of d anisotropic Heisenberg spin chains. It is well-known that the low energy excitations of a many-spin Heisenberg chain are identical to those of a string worldsheet coordinate compactified on a circle of radius R_k, which is related to the anisotropy parameter $-1 \le \Delta_k \le 1$ of the corresponding Heisenberg system. Furthermore there is a limit of this parameter, $\Delta_k \to \pm 1$, in which $R_k \to \infty$. As noted in earlier work [Phys.Rev.D**89**(2014)105002], these multi-string-bit chains are strictly stable at $N = \infty$ when $d < s$ and only marginally stable when $d = s$. (Poincaré supersymmetry requires $d = s = 8$, which is on the boundary between stability and instability.)

*E-mail address: thorn@phys.ufl.edu

1 Introduction

The idea, that string bits might provide the fundamental constituents of string, was proposed over two decades ago [1], with the implications of supersymmetry for string bit models developed and explored in [2]. As initially envisioned, string bits were point particles moving about in the transverse space x^k, $k = 2, \ldots, D-1$ of lightcone coordinates, $x^\pm = (x^0 \pm x^1)/\sqrt{2}$ enjoying a dynamics that is Galilei invariant. This Galilei invariance is natural to lightcone coordinates in which the momentum component $P^+ = (p^0 + p^1)/\sqrt{2}$ plays the role of a variable Newtonian mass, and the Galilei transformations act on the transverse space $x^k \to x^k + V^k x^+$. The Newtonian mass m of each string bit is fixed, but then Galilei invariance ensures that the Newtonian mass of a bound state of M string bits is Mm. If bound states can form with any number M of bits, Mm can be interpreted as the total (discretized) P^+ of the bound state. For $M \to \infty$ this emergent P^+ can be regarded as a continuous variable whose conjugate can be interpreted as x^-. String theory emerges from these models, if the many-bit bound states are closed linear chains of string bits (which can be arranged in the context of the 't Hooft large N limit [3, 4]), in which the low lying excitation energies scale for $M \to \infty$ as M^{-1}. This scaling law then leads to a Poincaré invariant dispersion relation $P^- = (\boldsymbol{P}^2 + \mu^2)/(2P^+)$. Since space is 3 dimensional with the associated transverse space 2 dimensional, the string bit model provided a concrete realization of 't Hooft's idea that the world is like a hologram [5].

Recently Sun and I have begun a new study of string bit models in a more general context [6]. Our main idea is that relaxing the strict requirement, that super Poincaré invariance emerge, provides us with composite models of string without the infrared instabilities caused by the massless graviton and gauge particles of superstring theory. Specifically, the lightcone quantized type II superstring requires a worldsheet system of 8 bosonic coordinate fields x^k and 8 pairs of fermionic Grassmann fields $\theta^a_{L,R}$. We proposed studying general string bit models in which d bosonic and s pairs of fermionic worldsheet fields emerge, provided that $d < s$. As long as $d < s$ the emergent closed string ground state has positive mass squared ($2P^+P^- - \boldsymbol{p}^2 > 0$), implying that the lowest energy closed string chain has its number of bits $M \to \infty$, i.e. it behaves as a continuous string. The superstring, with $d = s = 8$, is only marginally stable, and when $d > s$ long closed chains are unstable and will not form. As a case in point we began studying the simplest stable case in which the emergent string has $d = 0$ and $s = 1$ with further analysis of this model to be given in [7].

In this paper I would like to extend the work of [6] in a different direction by developing some of the string bit models, proposed in that work, which lead to composite string with general $d < s$. The models with $d = 0$ and general $s > 0$ can be obtained from [2] by simply discarding the dependence on transverse coordinates. Then each string bit is an adjoint in $U(N)$ color and has 2^s spin states. Half of these are bosons and half fermions. Of course, for $s = 1$ the model reduces to that studied in [6], with one boson and one fermion. To achieve $d > 0$ we could simply follow [2] and restore the dependence on transverse coordinates. Instead, as already suggested in [6], we let each string bit have 2^d "flavor states". Then all together, each string bit will have $2^s 2^d N^2$ internal states. It is only when these bits form long closed chains that fluctuations among the internal bit states begin to behave like bosonic

and fermionic coordinates. Then we can say that space has effectively emerged from string bit dynamics–hence the title chosen for this paper.

Although string theory and the dual resonance models, which led to its discovery, were initially developed as models of extended objects moving in space, it has been understood, almost from the beginning, that the "target space" in which string "moves" need not be a continuous manifold. Indeed, once one posits the existence of a worldsheet, the target space can be any two dimensional quantum field theory, which supports a suitable Virasoro algebra with the appropriate central charge (conformal field theories). The original description of the evolving string as a mapping $x^\mu(\sigma,\tau)$ from a worldsheet parameterized by σ,τ to space time x^μ could in the extreme be replaced by a mapping to $x^\pm(\sigma,\tau)$ and the values of a bunch of fermion fields $\psi_a(\sigma,\tau)$ on the worldsheet[†]. By bosonizing some of these fermion worldsheet fields, one can regain (compact) coordinates. Thus string theory automatically provides a first step in understanding the concept of space as an emergent phenomenon.

The goal of string bit models is to understand the worldsheet itself as an emergent phenomenon. In this paper, we shall focus on models which generate a worldsheet in lightcone parameterization in which $x^+ = \tau$ and σ is chosen so the density of P^+ is unity, $0 < \sigma < P^+$ [9]. To motivate them let's "deconstruct" the lightcone worldsheet path integral for a free closed string. First, the path integral is defined on a 2 dimensional lattice [10], which discretizes $\tau = x^+ \to kb$ and $\sigma \to lm$. Then we pass to Hamiltonian quantum mechanics by sending $b \to 0$. This leaves us with a system of point particles, each carrying a single unit of $P^+ = m$, ordered on a closed chain enjoying nearest neighbor interactions. Finally we embrace these string bits as fundamental degrees of freedom which are not *a priori* confined to closed chains. Instead of describing the string bits by their trajectories $\boldsymbol{x}_l(\tau)$, we introduce a string bit annihilation operator $a(\boldsymbol{x})$ and an empty state $|0\rangle$ [1]. A superstring bit [2] can be either a fermion or boson. And as already mentioned, the transverse space label $\boldsymbol{x}$ can be replaced by discrete internal symmetry labels. After this, as far as the string bits are concerned, space is literally nonexistent.

The emergence of the concept of space in these models depends on a remarkable confluence of circumstances involving string bit dynamics. They should be such that string bits organize themselves into closed many bit chains. The lowest energy chains must have either an infinite or at least an extremely large number of bits $M \gg 1$. Once chains of string bits form, the low-lying energy excitations of a chain will be "spin waves" involving fluctuations of the internal string bit states (including fluctuations of statistics!). For large M these low excitation energies will naturally scale as M^{-1}. This leads to the interpretation of the chain energy eigenstate as a particle moving in one space dimension, with lightcone dispersion relation $P^- = \mu^2/2P^+$. The spectrum of particle masses μ^2 depends on the nature of the internal fluctuation waves allowed by the dynamics. Thus the Hamiltonian giving string bit dynamics is interpreted as P^- and the bit number as $P^+ = Mm$. The longitudinal dimension x^- therefore emerges as the conjugate to P^+.

[†]By retaining x^+ as a continuous one dimensional manifold one keeps conventional quantum dynamics, which requires the notion of a Hamiltonian P^-. But this does not seem to be absolutely mandatory, provided that one is prepared to replace quantum mechanics with something else [8].

A straightforward way to set up the string bit dynamics to favor chain formation is to exploit the 't Hooft large N expansion [3]. This is done [1, 4] by letting the annihilation operator for a string bit be an $N \times N$ matrix $(a_K)_\alpha^{\ \beta}$, and choosing the Hamiltonian as a sum of terms with the structure

$$\frac{2}{N}\mathrm{Tr}a_K^\dagger a_L^\dagger a_I a_J \tag{1}$$

Then when $N \to \infty$ the Hamiltonian connects single trace states to single trace states with only nearest neighbor interactions, which sets up a one dimensional spin chain problem. In [6] we observed that long chains will be energetically favored if the number of statistics fluctuating waves s exceeds the number of statistics nonfluctuating waves d. We then studied in great detail a model where there was precisely one of the former and none of the latter. For supersymmetry these two types of waves are equal in number, so the string bit model underlying superstring is on the boundary between stability and instability.

In the next Section 2, we present the string bit models studied in this paper. Then in Section 3 we explain how long closed chains form dynamically and thereby convert fluctuating internal spin states to Grassmann worldsheet fields. In Section 4 we discuss the Heisenberg spin chain. We present the Bethe ansatz [11] for its energy eigenstates for general anisotropy parameter Δ. For $\Delta = 0$ it is easy to read off the energy spectrum for M spins and evaluate its large M behavior. For $\Delta \neq 0$ Yang and Yang [12] have analyzed the energy spectrum for general Δ and $M \gg 1$. We review their analysis in an Appendix, with particular attention to the aspects relevant to the present paper. Then in Section 5 we explain how the formation of long chains converts the internal flavor states to flavor waves described by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian. Section 6 closes the paper with a preliminary discussion of string interactions together with some concluding remarks.

2 Superstring bit models

The type IIB superstring theory [13, 14] quantized on the lightcone [9] is based on a worldsheet system with 8 transverse coordinates $x^k(\sigma, \tau)$, and 8 left moving and 8 right moving Grassmann variables $\theta^a_{L,R}(\sigma, \tau)$. We contemplate a more general worldsheet system with d coordinates and s pairs of Grassmann variables. The values $d = s = 8$ are necessary for Poincaré supersymmetry, but the general case, which lacks these symmetries, is a perfectly sensible dynamical system worthy of study in its own right. Even though full Poincaré invariance is lost when $s \neq 8$ and/or $d \neq 8$, the lightcone dynamics still naturally implements a relativistic energy momentum dispersion law $P^- = (\boldsymbol{p}^2 + \mu^2)/2P^+$ in the 't Hooft limit, so the concept of particle mass is retained at least in the limit $N \to \infty$. In particular, it was emphasized in [6] that when $s > d$ there is a gap (i.e. the lowest $\mu^2 > 0$) in the mass spectrum of the string system, which tames infrared divergences. This gap vanishes for $d = s$ and is tachyonic $\mu^2 < 0$, leading to instabilities, when $d > s$. Because the closed chains are noninteracting when $N = \infty$, these instabilities are problematic only at finite N.

As mentioned in the introduction we set up superstring bit dynamics in the standard second-quantized formalism, with creation and annihilation operators for a string bit. In

general, a superstring bit annihilation operator is an $N \times N$ matrix denoted by

$$\left(\phi^{f_1 \cdots f_d}_{[a_1 \cdots a_n]}\right)^{\beta}_{\alpha}, \qquad n = 0, \ldots, s; \quad a_j = 1, \ldots, s; \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, N \tag{2}$$

The f_j are "flavor" indices, describing the degrees of freedom responsible for the emergence of transverse space. The a_k are spinor indices, with the square brackets enclosing them reminding us that ϕ is completely antisymmetric under permutations of them. Also ϕ will be bosonic (fermionic) if the number of spinor indices n is even (odd). We will denote the corresponding creation operator by $\bar{\phi}_{\alpha}^{\ \beta} \equiv (\phi_{\beta}^{\ \alpha})^{\dagger}$. In the simplest realization of d space coordinates proposed in [6], it is enough that each $f_j = 1, 2$. In that model for the superstring, the Hamiltonian is

$$H \;\; = \;\; H_F + H_S \tag{3}$$

where the flavor dynamics is given by

$$H_F \;\; = \;\; \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s} \frac{1}{n!k!} \mathrm{Tr} \bar{\phi}^{E}_{a_1 \cdots a_n} \bar{\phi}^{F}_{b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{G}_{b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{H}_{a_1 \cdots a_n} V_{EFGH} \tag{4}$$

In this formula each capital superscript represents the collection of the individual flavor indices $F = \{f_j\}$. The spinor dynamics is described by

$$H_S \;\; = \;\; H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + H_4 + H_5 \tag{5}$$

where the H_i are:

$$H_1 \;\; = \;\; \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s} \frac{s - 2n}{n!k!} \mathrm{Tr} \bar{\phi}^{F}_{a_1 \cdots a_n} \bar{\phi}^{G}_{b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{G}_{b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{F}_{a_1 \cdots a_n} \tag{6}$$

$$H_2 \;\; = \;\; \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-)^k}{n!k!} \mathrm{Tr} \bar{\phi}^{F}_{a_1 \cdots a_n} \bar{\phi}^{G}_{b b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{G}_{b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{F}_{b a_1 \cdots a_n} \tag{7}$$

$$H_3 \;\; = \;\; \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-)^k}{n!k!} \mathrm{Tr} \bar{\phi}^{F}_{b a_1 \cdots a_n} \bar{\phi}^{G}_{b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{G}_{b b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{F}_{a_1 \cdots a_n} \tag{8}$$

$$H_4 \;\; = \;\; \frac{2i}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-)^k}{n!k!} \mathrm{Tr} \bar{\phi}^{F}_{a_1 \cdots a_n} \bar{\phi}^{G}_{b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{G}_{b b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{F}_{b a_1 \cdots a_n} \tag{9}$$

$$H_5 \;\; = \;\; -\frac{2i}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \frac{(-)^k}{n!k!} \mathrm{Tr} \bar{\phi}^{F}_{b a_1 \cdots a_n} \bar{\phi}^{G}_{b b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{G}_{b_1 \cdots b_k} \phi^{F}_{a_1 \cdots a_n} \tag{10}$$

The formula for H_S can be inferred from the superstring bit Hamiltonian proposed in [2] by discarding all contributions from the transverse coordinates. The structure of H is designed so that the action of H on single trace states goes, in the 't Hooft limit $N \to \infty$ [3, 4], to the

action of a discretized version of the first quantized Hamiltonian for the worldsheet fields of the type IIB superstring.

To explain this we introduce s Grassmann variables θ^a and construct the super creation operators

$$\psi^F(\theta) \;=\; \sum_{k=0}^{s} \frac{1}{k!} \bar{\phi}^F_{c_1\cdots c_k} \theta^{c_1}\cdots\theta^{c_k} \tag{11}$$

so that a general single trace state can be constructed from

$$|\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle \;=\; \mathrm{Tr}\psi^{F_1}(\theta_1)\cdots\psi^{F_M}(\theta_M)|0\rangle. \tag{12}$$

We note that the cyclic property of the trace implies the cyclic symmetry condition

$$|\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle \;=\; |\theta_2 F_2, \cdots, \theta_M F_M, \theta_1 F_1\rangle \tag{13}$$

Then we evaluate

$$H_F|\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle = 2\sum_{k=1}^{M} |\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_k G, \theta_{k+1} G', \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle V_{GG' F_{k+1} F_k} + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \tag{14}$$

$$H_1|\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle \;=\; 2\sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(s - 2\theta^a_k \frac{d}{d\theta^a_k}\right) |\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \tag{15}$$

$$H_2|\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle \;=\; 2\sum_{k=1}^{M} \theta^a_k \frac{d}{d\theta^a_{k+1}} |\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \tag{16}$$

$$H_3|\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle \;=\; 2\sum_{k=1}^{M} \theta^a_{k+1} \frac{d}{d\theta^a_k} |\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \tag{17}$$

$$H_4|\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle \;=\; -2i\sum_{k=1}^{M} \theta^a_k \theta^a_{k+1} |\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \tag{18}$$

$$H_5|\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle \;=\; -2i\sum_{k=1}^{M} \frac{d}{d\theta^a_k}\frac{d}{d\theta^a_{k+1}} |\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1}) \tag{19}$$

To formulate the energy spectrum problem at $N = \infty$ in the first quantized language we express the sought eigenstate as

$$|E\rangle \;=\; \int d^s\theta_1 \cdots d^s\theta_M |\theta_1 F_1, \cdots, \theta_M F_M\rangle \Psi(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_M) U_{F_1\cdots F_M}. \tag{20}$$

Because of the cyclic property (13), we can, without loss of generality, require the wave function to satisfy

$$\Psi(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_M)U_{F_1\cdots F_M} \;=\; (-)^{s(M-1)}\Psi(\theta_2,\ldots,\theta_M,\theta_1)U_{F_2\cdots F_M F_1}, \tag{21}$$

where the sign out front arises from reordering the $d^s\theta_k$'s. The wave functions must be anticyclic if both s and $M-1$ are odd, and cyclic otherwise.

We now apply H to $|E\rangle$ and write out the eigenvalue condition:

$$E|E\rangle \;=\; H|E\rangle = \int d^s\theta_1\cdots d^s\theta_M|\theta_1 F_1,\cdots,\theta_M F_M\rangle h\left(\Psi(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_M)U_{F_1\cdots F_M}\right) \tag{22}$$

for $N=\infty$. An integration by parts in the θ variables is done in the last step, after which one finds $h = h_S + h_F$ with

$$h_S = 2\sum_{k=1}^{M}\left[-i\theta_k^a\theta_{k+1}^a - i\frac{d}{d\theta_k^a}\frac{d}{d\theta_{k+1}^a} - \theta_k^a\frac{d}{d\theta_{k+1}^a} - \theta_{k+1}^a\frac{d}{d\theta_k^a} - s + 2\theta_k^a\frac{d}{d\theta_k^a}\right], \tag{23}$$

and h_F acts as a matrix

$$\langle G_1\cdots G_M|h_F|F_1\cdots F_M\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{M}\delta_{G_1}^{F_1}\cdots\delta_{G_{k-1}}^{F_{k-1}}V_{G_kG_{k+1}F_{k+1}F_k}\delta_{G_{k+2}}^{F_{k+2}}\cdots\delta_{G_M}^{F_M} \tag{24}$$

In other words h_F is a sum of M terms, where the kth term acts as the identity on all the indices of U except for F_k and F_{k+1}, and acts on these two as a matrix. As a useful shorthand in the first quantized dynamics we can write

$$h_F \;=\; \sum_{k=1}^{M}V^k \tag{25}$$

$$\langle G_1\cdots G_M|V^k|F_1\cdots F_M\rangle \;=\; \delta_{G_1}^{F_1}\cdots\delta_{G_{k-1}}^{F_{k-1}}V_{G_kG_{k+1}F_{k+1}F_k}\delta_{G_{k+2}}^{F_{k+2}}\cdots\delta_{G_M}^{F_M} \tag{26}$$

This nearest neighbor action presents the flavor dynamics of the string bit system as a generalized one dimensional chain. Since the low energy states of a Heisenberg spin chain with M spins behave at large M like those of a compactified coordinate, we can choose h_F to be the sum of d independent spin chain Hamiltonians:

$$h_F = \sum_{l=1}^{d}C_l\sum_{k=1}^{M}\left[(\sigma_l)_k^1(\sigma_l)_{k+1}^1 + (\sigma_l)_k^2(\sigma_l)_{k+1}^2 + \Delta_l(\sigma_l)_k^3(\sigma_l)_{k+1}^3\right] \tag{27}$$

Here, for each l,k, $(\sigma_l)_k^{1,2,3}$ are the two by two Pauli spin matrices

$$\sigma^1 \;=\; \begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix}0&-i\\i&0\end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix} \tag{28}$$

The first quantized Hamiltonian h_F is the sum of d commuting operators, each the Hamiltonian of a Heisenberg spin system. Studies by Bethe [11] and Yang and Yang [12], which we review in section 4 and the appendix, enable the exact calculation of the low lying energy spectrum of the spin chain when $M \to \infty$. As explained in [15] these energy eigenstates are identical to those of a spatial coordinate compactified on a circle. In addition to string vibrational modes, there are two zero modes, one corresponding to the string momentum and the other to winding the string around the compactification circle. The radius of the circle is related to the parameter Δ_l, so each dimension can be compactified on a different sized circle. The infinite radius limit, corresponding to a noncompact spatial dimension, is reached by the limit $\Delta_l \to 1$, if $C_l < 0$ and $\Delta_l \to -1$ if $C_l > 0$.

Requiring this outcome determines the coefficients $V_{GG'F'F}$ of the string bit Hamiltonian. Each capital index is a string of two-valued indices $F = \{f_1 \cdots f_d\}$ and similarly for G, F', G'. Then

$$V_{GG'F'F} = \sum_{l=1}^{d} C_l \left[\sigma^1_{g_l f_l}\sigma^1_{g'_l f'_l} + \sigma^2_{g_l f_l}\sigma^2_{g'_l f'_l} + \Delta_l \sigma^3_{g_l f_l}\sigma^3_{g'_l f'_l}\right] \prod_{k\neq l} \left(\delta_{g_k f_k}\delta_{g'_k f'_k}\right). \tag{29}$$

When there is no danger of confusion, we will suppress the indices on the right side of this equation which we can write:

$$V_{GG'F'F} \to \sum_{l=1}^{d} C_l \left[\sigma^1_l \sigma^{1\prime}_l + \sigma^2_l \sigma^{2\prime}_l + \Delta_l \sigma^3_l \sigma^{3\prime}_l\right]. \tag{30}$$

In this notation the $\sigma^{1,2,3}_l, \sigma'^{1,2,3}_l$ commute with the $\sigma^{1,2,3}_{l'}, \sigma'^{1,2,3}_{l'}$ when $l \neq l'$ and the $\sigma^{1,2,3}_l$ commute with the $\sigma'^{1,2,3}_l$.

3 Emergence of Grassmann and longitudinal space

At finite N the string bit system has a finite number of degrees of freedom: for our example this number is $2^{s+d}N^2$. In the large N limit the low energy eigenstates with a large bit number M show an energy excitation of order $1/M$. Recalling that the lightcone mass shell condition for a particle is $P^- = (m^2 + \boldsymbol{p}^2)/2P^+$, we seek to interpret M as P^+ and H as P^-. The eigenvalues of h_S at fixed M are [2, 6]

$$E_S = E_S^{\min} + 8\sum_{n=1}^{M-1} \eta_n \sin\frac{n\pi}{M}, \qquad \eta_n = 0, 1, \ldots, s \tag{31}$$

$$E_S^{\min} = -4s\cot\frac{\pi}{2M} \sim -\frac{s8M}{\pi} + \frac{2\pi s}{3M} \tag{32}$$

corresponding to states built from fermionic creation operators $B^{a\dagger}_n$, $n = 0, \ldots, M-1$, applied to a ground state $|0\rangle$. Here the modes n and $M-n$ have the same frequency. Excitations of order $1/M$ arise when $M \to \infty$ with either $n/M \ll 1$ or $(M-n)/M \ll 1$. We can call the

first case left moving modes and the second right moving modes. When s is even, the cyclic symmetry constraint amounts to the requirement that $N_L = N_R$ where N_L, N_R are the total mode numbers of left moving right moving modes respectively. The zero mode B_0 converts boson states to fermion states and vice versa. Its existence establishes that the number of bosonic states is the same as the number of fermionic states.

When $s = 8$ this excitation spectrum is precisely that of the left and right moving Grassmann worldsheet fields θ^a_L, θ^a_R of the Green-Schwarz formulation of the type IIB superstring. This identification fixes the scale of the energy in terms of m the unit of $P^+ \equiv Mm$ and the rest tension of the string $T_0 = 1/(2\pi\alpha')$. Each worldsheet coordinate field should contribute $-\pi T_0/(6P^+)$ to the closed string ground state P^-. To see this just consider the bosonic string which has 24 such coordinate worldsheet fields. We know that the ground state mass squared of the bosonic closed string is $2P^+P^- = -4/\alpha' = -8\pi T_0$. For the superstring each left-right pair of Grassmann fields contribute just the negative of this, namely $\pi T_0/(6P^+)$. Remembering that $P^+ = Mm$, it follows that $P^-_S = E_S T_0/(4m)$, or for operators

$$P^- = P^-_S + P^-_F \tag{33}$$

$$P^-_S = \frac{T_0}{4m}(H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + H_4 + H_5) \tag{34}$$

and P^-_F will be determined after the analysis of the next section.

4 Heisenberg Spin Chain

The conventional Hamiltonian for the spin chain is usually defined as

$$H_{hei} = -\sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(\sigma^1_k \sigma^1_{k+1} + \sigma^2_k \sigma^2_{k+1} + \Delta \sigma^3_k \sigma^3_{k+1}\right) \tag{35}$$

The application to string bit dynamics requires periodic boundary conditions, meaning $\sigma_{M+1} \equiv \sigma_1$, which we henceforth assume. It is well-known that critical behavior is present for the range $-1 \leq \Delta \leq 1$. The minus sign out front nominally favors spin alignment, but for Δ in this range the lowest energy states actually have charge (spin) $Q = \sum_k \sigma^3_k = 0$. The solution for the eigenvalues of H is given by the Bethe ansatz [11], in which eigenstates are sought as spin waves of overturned spins relative to the state $|0\rangle$, in which $\sigma^3_k = +1$ for all k. Then a state with q overturned spins is denoted $|i_1, \cdots, i_q\rangle$ where the i_k give the locations of the overturned spins. Then the Bethe ansatz is

$$|E\rangle = \sum_P A_P |i_1, \cdots, i_q\rangle e^{i\sum_k i_k p_{P_k}} \tag{36}$$

$$H|0\rangle = -M\Delta|0\rangle, \qquad E = -M\Delta + 4\sum_{k=1}^{q}(\Delta - \cos p_k). \tag{37}$$

Here, the sum over P is the sum of all permutations of $12\cdots q$. Without loss of generality, we may restrict $-\pi \le p_k \le \pi$. The ansatz is an eigenstate of H, provided that the p_k, which must all be distinct, satisfy

$$p_k = \frac{2\pi I_k}{M} - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{j=1}^{q}\theta(p_k,p_j) \tag{38}$$

$$\theta(p_k,p_j) \equiv 2\arctan\frac{\Delta\sin((p_k-p_j)/2))}{\cos((p_k+p_j)/2)-\Delta\cos((p_k-p_j)/2)} \tag{39}$$

Periodic boundary conditions require that the I_k are integers when q is odd, or that they are half odd integers when q is even. We can identify two conserved quantities that help characterize the different eigenstates especially at large M. One is the total charge $Q = M - 2q$ for the state with q overturned spins. The other is the total momentum of the overturned spins

$$P = \sum_k p_k = \frac{2\pi}{M}\sum_k I_k. \tag{40}$$

The last equality follows from the antisymmetry of $\theta(k,k') = -\theta(k',k)$. Inspection of the form of the energy eigenvalue shows that the energy is minimized (maximized) for the maximum value of q for which all of the overturned spins satisfy $\Delta - \cos p_k < 0$ (> 0).

4.1 Energy Analysis for $\Delta = 0$.

The equation (38) is formidable but Yang and Yang have successfully analyzed it for large M [12]. We review their analysis in the appendix. Here we discuss the case $\Delta = 0$ for which $\theta = 0$, and hence $p_k = 2\pi I_k/M$ exactly for all M. Focusing first on lowest energy states, we are interested in all the p_k in the range $-\pi/2 < p_k < \pi/2$. When q is even (odd) the p_k are of the form $\pi(2n_k+1)/M$ ($2\pi n_k/M$). For fixed M, q the energy is minimized when the n_k are consecutive integers as symmetrical about 0 as possible. So let the n_k range from n_1 to n_2 spaced by integers. Then $q = n_2 - n_1 + 1$, and

$$E = \begin{cases} -4\displaystyle\sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2}\cos\frac{2\pi k}{M} = -2\frac{\sin[\pi(2n_2+1)/M] - \sin[\pi(2n_1-1)/M]}{\sin[\pi/M]}, & q \quad \text{odd} \\ -4\displaystyle\sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2}\cos\frac{\pi(2k+1)}{M} = -2\frac{\sin[2\pi(n_2+1)/M] - \sin[2\pi n_1/M]}{\sin[\pi/M]}, & q \quad \text{even} \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

For the given M, find an integer r such that $M - r$ is divisible by 4. We may take r from the set $\{-1,0,1,2\}$. Then define l,k by $q = (M-r)/2 + l$ and $n_1 = -(M-r)/4 + k$. It follows that $Q = r - 2l$, $n_2 = l + k - 1 + (M-r)/4$. Note that q is even (odd) if and only if

l is even (odd). Plugging these expressions into the energy formulas,

$$E = \begin{cases} -2\dfrac{\cos[\pi(-Q+2k-1+r/2)/M]+\cos[\pi(2k-1+r/2)/M]}{\sin[\pi/M]}, & l \text{ odd} \\ -2\dfrac{\cos[\pi(-Q+2k+r/2)/M]+\cos[\pi(2k+r/2)/M]}{\sin[\pi/M]}, & l \text{ even} \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

In this form we can take the long chain limit $M \to \infty$ with l, k fixed, and identify the low-lying excitations.

$$E \sim \begin{cases} 4\left[-\dfrac{M}{\pi}-\dfrac{\pi}{6M}+\dfrac{\pi}{M}\left(\dfrac{Q^2}{8}+2\left\{k+\dfrac{l-1}{2}\right\}^2\right)\right], & l \text{ odd} \\ 4\left[-\dfrac{M}{\pi}-\dfrac{\pi}{6M}+\dfrac{\pi}{M}\left(\dfrac{Q^2}{8}+2\left\{k+\dfrac{l}{2}\right\}^2\right)\right], & l \text{ even} \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

Note that whether l is even or odd, the quantity in braces is any integer. Also, Q is an even (odd) integer if M is even (odd). This quantity has a simple interpretation in terms of the total momentum P:

$$P = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2}\frac{2\pi k}{M} = \frac{\pi}{M}(l+2k-1)\frac{M+2l-r}{2} \sim \pi\left(k+\frac{l-1}{2}\right) & l \text{ odd} \\ \displaystyle\sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2}\frac{\pi(2k+1)}{M} = \frac{\pi}{M}(l+2k)\frac{M+2l-r}{2} \sim \pi\left(k+\frac{l}{2}\right) & l \text{ even} \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

where the last forms are for $M \to \infty$. Thus we can write the final answer

$$E \sim 4\left[-\frac{M}{\pi}-\frac{\pi}{6M}+\frac{\pi}{M}\left(\frac{Q^2}{8}+2\frac{P^2}{\pi^2}\right)\right] \tag{45}$$

where Q and P/π can be any pair of integers, with the evenness or oddness of Q correlated with that of M.

The energy spectrum for $\Delta \neq 0$ involves the full sophistication of the Bethe ansatz. Once it is fully implemented, one can take the large M limit to find the low lying energy spectrum of $H(\Delta)$. The analysis of [12], reviewed in the appendix, shows that the only effect of $\Delta \neq 0$ is to alter the overall constant out front and the coefficients of M, Q^2 and P^2:

$$E \sim \frac{2\pi\sin\mu}{\mu}\left[-\alpha(\mu)\frac{M}{\pi}-\frac{\pi}{6M}+\frac{\pi}{M}\left(\frac{\pi-\mu}{\pi}\frac{Q^2}{4}+\frac{\pi}{\pi-\mu}\frac{P^2}{\pi^2}+2(N_L+N_R)\right)\right] \tag{46}$$

$$\Delta = -\cos\mu \tag{47}$$

The term involving (N_L+N_R) gives the spin wave excitations, which correspond to particle-hole configurations of the momenta near the "Fermi sea" of the overturned spins. The $-\pi/(6M)$ term is the universal part of the zero point energy associated with these particle-hole excitations [16].

4.2 Lowest lying energies of $H' = -H_{\rm Hei}$

An interesting twist occurs if the Hamiltonian is taken to be $-H$. Then the lowest energy states correspond to the highest energy eigenstates of H. To find these for $\Delta = 0$, we now require that the p_k all satisfy $\cos p_k < 0$. Thus $\pi/2 < p_k \leq \pi$ or $-\pi < p_k < -\pi/2$. Adding 2π to the p_k in the second category allows the two categories to be unified to $\pi/2 < p_k < 3\pi/2$. So now we define k, l by $n_1 = k + (M-r)/4$, $q = l + (M-r)/2$, $n_2 = k + l - 1 + 3(M-r)/4$. Using these definitions the energy can be written

$$E = \begin{cases} 2\dfrac{\cos[\pi(2k+2l-1-3r/2)/M] + \cos[\pi(2k-1-r/2)/M]}{\sin[\pi/M]}, & l \quad \text{odd} \\ 2\dfrac{\cos[\pi(2k+2l-3r/2)/M] + \cos[\pi(2k+(M-r)/2)/M]}{\sin[\pi/M]}, & l \quad \text{even} \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

$$E \sim \begin{cases} 4\left[\dfrac{M}{\pi} + \dfrac{\pi}{6M} - \dfrac{\pi}{M}\left(\dfrac{Q^2}{8} + 2\left\{k + \dfrac{l-1-r}{2}\right\}^2\right)\right], & l \text{ odd} \\ 4\left[\dfrac{M}{\pi} + \dfrac{\pi}{6M} - \dfrac{\pi}{M}\left(\dfrac{Q^2}{8} + 2\left\{k + \dfrac{l-r}{2}\right\}^2\right)\right], & l \text{ even} \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

where the second line shows the large M behavior. In this case the quantities in braces are integers when r (and also M) are even and half odd integers when r (and also M) are odd. In the latter odd case, Q is also odd, with the implication that when M is odd neither of the squared terms can ever be zero.

With the p_k in the range $\pi/2 < p_k < 3\pi/2$, as we have chosen here, the total momentum works out to:

$$P = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\pi}{M}(M-r+l+2k-1)\dfrac{M+2l-r}{2} \sim q\pi + \pi\left(k + \dfrac{l-1-r}{2}\right) & l \text{ odd} \\ \dfrac{\pi}{M}(M-r+l+2k)\dfrac{M+2l-r}{2} \sim q\pi + \pi\left(k + \dfrac{l-r}{2}\right) & l \text{ even} \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

where in the last forms, we have dropped some terms of order M^{-1}. We see that the quantity $(P - q\pi)/\pi$ approaches the quantities in braces, so that we can write the highest energies as

$$E \sim 4\left[\frac{M}{\pi} + \frac{\pi}{6M} - \frac{\pi}{M}\left(\frac{Q^2}{8} + 2\frac{(P-q\pi)^2}{\pi^2}\right)\right] \tag{51}$$

As we have mentioned the high energy spectrum of H becomes the low energy spectrum of $-H$. When M is even these two Hamiltonians are similar. We have just found that when M is odd, the energy spectrum of $-H(0)$ is *not* the same as $H(0)$. This implies that the two Hamiltonians are not similar when M is odd.

For $\Delta \neq 0$, the highest eigenvalues of H are still the lowest eigenvalues of $-H_{\rm Hei}$:

$$H' = -H_{\rm Hei}(\Delta) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(\sigma_k^1\sigma_{k+1}^1 + \sigma_k^2\sigma_{k+1}^2 + \Delta\sigma_k^3\sigma_{k+1}^3\right). \tag{52}$$

When M is even, the operator $C = \prod_{k=\text{odd}} \sigma_k^3 = C^{-1} = C^\dagger$ relates H' to the original Heisenberg Hamiltonian with $\Delta \to -\Delta$.

$$H' = CH_{\text{Hei}}(-\Delta)C \tag{53}$$

It immediately follows that the lowest energy eigenvalues of H' are those of H_{Hei} with $\mu \to \pi - \mu$:

$$E' - E'_0 = \frac{2\pi \sin\mu}{\pi - \mu}\left[-\frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{\mu}{4}Q^2 + \frac{1}{\mu}\hat{P}^2 + 2\pi(N_R + N_L)\right]\frac{1}{M}, \qquad M \quad \text{even} \tag{54}$$

Here $\hat{P}$ is the total momentum in the Bethe ansatz for an eigenstate $|\{p_k\}\rangle$ of $H_{\text{Hei}}(-\Delta)$. The corresponding eigenstate of H' is $C|\{p_k\}\rangle$. The operator C multiplies each term, in the Bethe ansatz with an odd number of overturned spins sitting on odd sites, by -1. But this is equivalent to adding π to each of the p_k. Indeed, it is easy to show that if the p_k satisfy the Bethe ansatz conditions for $-\Delta$, then $p_k + \pi$ satisfy the Bethe ansatz conditions for Δ. Thus the total momentum in the Bethe ansatz for H' is $P = \hat{P} + q\pi$. thus we can write

$$E' - E'_0 = \frac{2\pi \sin\mu}{\pi - \mu}\left[-\frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{\mu}{4}Q^2 + \frac{1}{\mu}(P - q\pi)^2 + 2\pi(N_R + N_L)\right]\frac{1}{M}. \tag{55}$$

We remind the reader that we have been assuming M is even, to rigorously obtain these results without additional work. However the continuum analysis that leads directly to all these results is quite insensitive to the evenness of oddness of M. Hence the restriction to even M can be dropped. The discrete nature of Q and P is sensitive to the parity of M, but not to the value of Δ. Thus $Q = M - 2q$ is even (odd) if M is even (odd). Similarly $P = (2\pi/M)\sum_k I_k$ assumes for all Δ the values it has for $\Delta = 0$. In the low lying states of H_{Hei} these are integer multiples of π for all M, even and odd. But in the high lying states of H_{Hei} (low lying states of H'), the values of $P - q\pi$ are integer multiples of π for even M, but half odd integer multiples of π for odd M.

5 Emergence of transverse space

We obtain the normalization of H_F for the case where the flavor dynamics is given by a collection of Heisenberg spin chains from the analysis of the previous section. The key is the coefficient of the $1/M$ terms. Each transverse dimension should contribute $-\pi T_0/(6Mm)$ to this part of P^-. The lowest energy eigenvalue of H_F is $\sum_k |C(\mu_k)|E(\mu_k)$ where $E(\mu)$ is given by (46) if $C_k < 0$ and by (55) if $C_k > 0$. Matching the $1/M$ terms gives $C_k = -\mu T_0/(2\pi m \sin\mu)$ in the first case and $C_k = (\pi - \mu)T_0/(2\pi m \sin\mu)$ in the second case.

To understand the interpretation of (46) or (55) as the excitations of transverse coordinates we compare them to the spectrum of the lightcone string Hamiltonian

$$P^- = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{P^+} d\sigma \left[\mathcal{P}^2 + T_0^2 x'^2\right] \tag{56}$$

given by

$$P^- = \frac{\pi T_0}{P^+}\left[-\frac{1}{6}+\frac{2\pi}{L^2T_0}n^2+\frac{L^2T_0}{2\pi}l^2+2(N_L+N_R)\right] \quad (57)$$

where the coordinate x lives on a circle of circumference L. The momentum is $p = 2\pi n/L$. and l is the number of times the closed string winds around the circle. Comparison then shows that the Heisenberg spin system describes a coordinate compactified on a circle with circumference determined by

$$\frac{2\pi}{L^2T_0} = \frac{\pi-\mu}{\pi} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\mu}{\pi}. \quad (58)$$

The interpretation is exact in the sector with Q an even integer, which is the even M subspace. Including the Q odd sector adds half integer values of n to the momentum, corresponding to antiperiodic boundary conditions. For $C_k < 0$ the values of l remain integral, but for $C_k > 0$ the l associated with half odd n are also half odd. This has the consequence that the decompactification limit sends the M odd chains to infinite energy in the second case.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we have presented a class of stable string bit models in which space is an emergent phenomenon. The emphasis has been on the $N \to \infty$ limit of the models in which noninteracting strings form. Interactions will be present in these models at order $1/N$. But although these interactions will be consistent with unitarity (by construction), they will not have the complexity to reproduce the interactions required of superstring theory.

By their very nature, $1/N$ corrections can be interpreted as breaking and joining closed strings. This is because a noninteracting string state is a single trace state, and $1/N$ corrections to the Hamiltonian acting on a multi-trace state either split one trace into two or join two traces into one. However as shown in [17] type IIB superstring theory requires that a rather complicated combination of coordinate and Grassmann fields be inserted at the joining point of the three string vertex. This insertion is quadratic in coordinate fields and an 8th order polynomial in Grassmann fields with the structure

$$\mathcal{I}_3(\sigma) = \tilde{X}^i(\sigma)X^j(\sigma)v^{ij}(Y(\sigma)) \quad (59)$$

In this formula $\tilde{X}$ and X are linear combinations of the worldsheet coordinate fields and Y is a linear combination of the worldsheet Grassmann fields. The function v^{ij} is a polynomial of Y constructed from the following five monomials

$$\delta_{ij}, \qquad \gamma^{ik}_{[ab}\gamma^{jk}_{cd]}Y^aY^bY^cY^d, \qquad \delta_{ij}Y^1Y^2\cdots Y^8, \qquad \gamma^{ij}_{ab}Y^aY^b, \qquad \gamma^{ij}_{ab}\epsilon^{abc\cdots h}Y^c\cdots Y^h \quad (60)$$

which transform as a 2-tensor in transverse space. Here $\gamma^{ij}_{ab} = \gamma^i_{a\dot{a}}\gamma^j_{b\dot{a}} - \delta_{ij}\delta_{ab}$, with γ^i the SO(8) gamma matrices. The $1/N$ corrections from the Hamiltonian presented in this paper

can produce at most two factors of the Grassmann fields Y. To produce higher powers of Y, terms with more intricate spin structure must be added to the string bit Hamiltonian. In order to leave the large N limit unaffected, we require these added terms to have the color structure

$$\frac{g^{ABCD}}{N}\mathrm{Tr} : \bar{\phi}_A \phi_B \bar{\phi}_C \phi_D : \tag{61}$$

where the A, B, C, D signify the collection of spin and flavor indices carried by each ϕ, and the colons indicate normal ordering. Such terms will not contribute in leading order in the $1/N$ expansion. An important consequence is that the size of the coefficient g^{ABCD} can be allowed to be very large with the perturbation still small, as long as $N \gg g^{ABCD}$. This flexibility will particularly crucial if,as hinted by the analysis of [6], the stability of closed chains requires $M < N$. In that case, N would have to be enormous to produce string-like chains. In that case the only relevant interactions would be those enhanced by a large coefficient.

It is a straightforward task to apply such terms to multi-trace states and determine the spinor index dependence of g^{ABCD} which produces each of the monomials listed above. The flavor dependence responsible for the X, $\tilde{X}$ factors of the insertion is less obvious because the emergence of the effective coordinate fields from the Heisenberg chain dynamics is less direct than the emergence of the Grassmann fields. Effective coordinate fields only arise for large bit number chains. The construction of a string bit Hamiltonian which implies the fully interacting superstring in 10 spacetime dimensions remains a project for future research.

Acknowledgments: I thank Oren Bergman, John Klauder, and Songge Sun for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by U.S. DOE grant DE-FG02-97ER-41029.

A Energy analysis for $-1 < \Delta < 1$, and large M

Yang and Yang [12] use the following techniques to solve the spin chain energies in the limit $M \to \infty$. They map the p_j onto new variables α_j for which θ depends only on the difference $\alpha_j - \alpha_l$. This is accomplished by the map

$$\begin{aligned} z &= e^{ip} = \frac{e^{i\mu} - e^{\alpha}}{e^{i\mu+\alpha} - 1} \\ \Delta &= -\cos\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

This version of the map is appropriate for $-1 < \Delta < 1$. Some special values of α delineate the map: $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to $e^{ip} = 1$ which implies $p = 0$, and $\alpha = \pm\infty$ map to $p = \pm(\pi - \mu)$. (We are choosing p to be in the range $-\pi < p < \pi$.) Thus the whole range $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ corresponds to $-(\pi - \mu) < p < \pi - \mu$. Note that $\Delta = 1$ shrinks the range of p to 0, whereas $\Delta = -1$ represents the maximum range. It is straightforward to work out the following

quantities in terms of the new variables:

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos p &= -\cos\mu + \frac{\sin^2\mu}{\cosh\alpha - \cos\mu} \\
\frac{dp}{d\alpha} &= \frac{\sin p}{\sinh\alpha} = \frac{\sin\mu}{\cosh\alpha - \cos\mu} \\
\theta(\alpha,\beta) &= 2\tan^{-1}\left[(\cot\mu)\tanh\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

The boundary conditions take the form

$$p_l = \frac{2\pi I_l}{M} - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{j\neq l}\theta(\alpha_j,\alpha_l), \tag{64}$$

where the I_l are integers when q is odd, and they are half-odd integers when q is even. Different choices for these integers lead to different solutions for the set of p's.

A.1 Consecutive I_l: $Q, P \neq 0$

We begin by first choosing the set of numbers I_l to be consecutive with no gaps: $I_{l+1} = 1 + I_l$. We define a kernel K and density function $R(\alpha)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\alpha,\beta) &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\beta} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\sin 2\mu}{\cosh(\alpha-\beta) - \cos 2\mu} \\
R(\alpha) &= \frac{2\pi}{M}\frac{dj}{d\alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

and then convert the equation for the p's as $M \to \infty$ into an integral equation

$$\frac{dp}{d\alpha} = R(\alpha) + \int_{\alpha_-}^{\alpha_+} d\beta K(\alpha-\beta)R(\beta). \tag{66}$$

This equation was analyzed in [12] for $\alpha_- = -\alpha_+$. The values chosen for $\alpha_\pm$ determine the characteristics of the eigenstate. For example, the eigenstate with the lowest energy corresponds to $\alpha_\pm = \pm\infty$. The values of p at the limits of this range are $p = \pm(\pi - \mu)$. As long as $0 < \mu < \pi$, $e(\alpha) = 4(\Delta - \cos p(\alpha)) < 0$ for all finite α, so taking the whole range of α corresponds to including in the expression for E all values for e less than 0. For the continuum limit we are only interested in very large $\alpha_\pm$ since then the eigenvalues will be close (within $1/M$) of the minimum energy eigenvalue.

As shown in [12], the kernel $J = -(I+K)^{-1}K$, can be used to rewrite the equation for R, which determines it over the whole range of α, in terms of its values outside the range (α_-, α_+). This is useful since we are interested only in the excited states close to the ground state corresponding to $\alpha_\pm = \pm\infty$.

$$R(\alpha) = R_0(\alpha) - \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-} + \int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right] J(\alpha-\beta)R(\beta) \tag{67}$$

where R_0 is the solution of the equation for $\alpha_\pm = \pm\infty$. It can be easily found by Fourier transformation of the equation. From

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp}{d\alpha} &= \int d\lambda e^{-i\lambda\alpha} \frac{\sinh(\pi-\mu)\lambda}{\sinh \pi\lambda} \\ K(\alpha) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\, e^{-i\lambda\alpha}\, \frac{\sinh(\pi-2\mu)\lambda}{\sinh \pi\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

we determine

$$\begin{aligned} R_0(\alpha) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda\alpha}\, \frac{1}{2\cosh \mu\lambda} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2\mu} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi\alpha/(2\mu))}. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

We can also easily express J as a Fourier integral:

$$J(\alpha) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\, e^{-i\lambda\alpha}\, \frac{\sinh(\pi-2\mu)\lambda}{2\sinh(\pi-\mu)\lambda \cosh \mu\lambda}. \tag{70}$$

The conserved quantities $Q = M - 2q, P = \sum_j p_j$, the total charge and total momentum respectively can be expressed, in the limit $M \to \infty$, as integrals either inside or outside the range (α_-, α_+). These expressions then implicitly determine $\alpha_\pm$ in terms of Q, P.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{Q}{2M} = \frac{q}{M} &= \int_{\alpha_-}^{\alpha_+} \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} R(\beta) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} R(\beta) - \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-} + \int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right] \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} R(\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-} + \int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right] \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} R(\beta) \left(1 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha J(\alpha-\beta)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Now,

$$1 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha J(\alpha-\beta) = 1 - \frac{\pi-2\mu}{2(\pi-\mu)} = \frac{\pi}{2(\pi-\mu)}, \tag{72}$$

so we have

$$\frac{Q}{M} = \frac{\pi}{\pi-\mu} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-} + \int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right] \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} R(\beta). \tag{73}$$

In a similar manner we can express the total momentum as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P}{M} = \frac{1}{M}\sum_{j=1}^{q} p_j &= \int_{\alpha_-}^{\alpha_+} \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} R(\beta) p(\beta) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} R(\beta) p(\beta) - \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-} + \int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right] \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} R(\beta) p(\beta) \\ &= \frac{P_0}{M} - \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-} + \int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right] \frac{d\beta}{2\pi} R(\beta) \left(p(\beta) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha J(\alpha-\beta) p(\alpha)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

We can infer the Fourier transform of $p(\alpha)$ from that of $dp/d\alpha$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dp}{d\alpha} &= \int d\lambda e^{-i\lambda\alpha}\frac{\sinh(\pi-\mu)\lambda}{\sinh\pi\lambda} \\
p(\beta) &= -\frac{1}{2i}\int d\lambda e^{-i\lambda\beta}\frac{\sinh(\pi-\mu)\lambda}{\sinh\pi\lambda}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda+i\epsilon}+\frac{1}{\lambda-i\epsilon}\right] \\
p(\beta)+\int d\alpha J(\alpha-\beta)p(\alpha) &= -\frac{1}{2i}\int d\lambda e^{-i\lambda\beta}\frac{1}{2\cosh\mu\lambda}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda+i\epsilon}+\frac{1}{\lambda-i\epsilon}\right] \\
&\to \pm\frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad \text{for} \quad \beta\to\pm\infty. \qquad (75)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the $i\epsilon$ prescription is chosen so that $p(\pm\infty)=\pm(\pi-\mu)$, as required by the mapping. Finally, since $P_0=0$, we have for large α_+,α_-,

$$\frac{P}{M} \approx -\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}-\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-}\right]\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}R(\beta). \qquad (76)$$

Finally, we manipulate the expression for the energy, expressing it as an integral outside the interval (α_-,α_+):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E}{M}+\Delta &= \int_{\alpha_-}^{\alpha_+}\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}R(\beta)e(\beta) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}R(\beta)e(\beta)-\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-}+\int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right]\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}R(\beta)e(\beta)] \\
&= \frac{E_0}{M}+\Delta-\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-}+\int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right]\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}R(\beta)\left(e(\beta)+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\alpha J(\alpha-\beta)e(\alpha)\right), \qquad (77)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
e(\alpha) &= 4\Delta-4\cos p=\frac{-4\sin^2\mu}{\cosh\alpha-\cos\mu}=-4\sin\mu\frac{dp}{d\alpha} \qquad (78) \\
&= -4\sin\mu\int d\lambda e^{-i\lambda\alpha}\frac{\sinh(\pi-\mu)\lambda}{\sinh\pi\lambda} \qquad (79)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last line gives the Fourier transform of $e(\alpha)$. Also E_0 is the energy when $\alpha_\pm\to\infty$ and M is large:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E_0}{M}+\Delta &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}R_0(\beta)e(\beta) \qquad (80) \\
&= -4\sin^2\mu\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}\frac{\pi}{2\mu}\frac{1}{\cosh(\pi\beta/(2\mu))(\cosh\beta-\cos\mu)}. \qquad (81)
\end{aligned}$$

This integral is not elementary for general μ. But for $\Delta=0$ ($\mu=\pi/2$) it is easily done;

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\cosh^2\beta}=\frac{1}{\pi}. \qquad (82)$$

so that $E_0 \to -4M/\pi$ for $\mu \to \pi/2$ or $\delta \to 0$. Comparison to our explicit evaluation at $\Delta = 0$ shows that the correction $-4\pi/6M$ is not included in E_0. That is because this term is the M^{-1} correction to the replacement of the sum over discrete momenta by an integral.

We finally arrive at a convenient expression for $E - E_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{E-E_0}{M} &= +4\sin\mu\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-}+\int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right]\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}R(\beta)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\lambda e^{-i\lambda\beta}\frac{1}{2\cosh\mu\lambda}\\ &= \frac{2\pi\sin\mu}{\mu}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\alpha_-}+\int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty}\right]\frac{d\beta}{2\pi}R(\beta)\frac{1}{\cosh(\pi\beta/2\mu)},\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

To find the energy levels close to the ground state, we must analyze the equations for R for large α_+, α_-. For $\alpha > \alpha_+$, (67) can be approximated by dropping the integral over negative α and using the asymptotic form for R_0:

$$R(\alpha) + \int_{\alpha_+}^{\infty} J(\alpha-\beta)R(\beta) \approx \frac{\pi}{\mu}e^{-\pi\alpha/2\mu}. \tag{84}$$

It is convenient to put

$$R(\alpha+\alpha^+) = \frac{\pi}{\mu}e^{-\pi\alpha_+/2\mu}S(\alpha)$$

so that (84) reduces to the Wiener-Hopf equation [12]

$$S(\alpha) + \int_0^{\infty} J(\alpha-\beta)S(\beta) = e^{-\pi\alpha/2\mu}. \tag{85}$$

Similarly, analyzing the equation for $\alpha < \alpha_-$, leads to the identification

$$R(\alpha+\alpha^-) \approx \frac{\pi}{\mu}e^{\pi\alpha_-/2\mu}S(-\alpha).$$

Inserting these approximations into the formulas for Q, P, and E, leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Q}{M} &\approx \frac{\pi}{\pi-\mu}\frac{1}{2\mu}\left[e^{-\pi\alpha_+/2\mu}+e^{\pi\alpha_-/2\mu}\right]\int_0^{\infty}d\beta S(\beta)\\ \frac{P}{M} &\approx -\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{1}{2\mu}\left[e^{-\pi\alpha_+/2\mu}-e^{\pi\alpha_-/2\mu}\right]\int_0^{\infty}d\beta S(\beta)\\ \frac{E-E_0}{M} &\approx \frac{2\pi\sin\mu}{\mu}\frac{1}{\mu}\left[e^{-\pi\alpha_+/\mu}+e^{\pi\alpha_-/\mu}\right]\int_0^{\infty}d\beta S(\beta)e^{-\pi\beta/2\mu}.\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

Next one can solve the first two equations for α_+ and α_- and substitute in the last equation to get

$$\frac{E-E_0}{M} \approx \frac{2\pi\sin\mu}{\mu}\frac{2\mu I(\pi/2\mu)}{I(0)^2}\left[\frac{(\pi-\mu)^2}{\pi^2}\frac{Q^2}{M^2}+\frac{4}{\pi^2}\frac{P^2}{M^2}\right], \tag{87}$$

where we have defined $I(x) = \int_0^{\infty} d\beta S(\beta)e^{-x\beta}$. From the solution of (85), one can infer (see [12]) that $I(\pi/2\mu)/I(0)^2 = \pi^2/8\mu(\pi-\mu)$, so finally

$$E - E_0 \approx \frac{2\pi\sin\mu}{\mu}\frac{1}{M}\left[\frac{\pi-\mu}{4}Q^2+\frac{1}{\pi-\mu}P^2\right] \tag{88}$$

A.2 Non-consecutive I_l

The excited states included in (88) are those where the numbers I_l are consecutive. For example, the state with $Q = P = 0$ corresponds to the choice (with $q = M/2$ odd)

$$\left(-\frac{q-1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{q-3}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2}\right).$$

There are also excitations in which "holes" are allowed in this set of numbers. As an example, consider replacing $(q-1-2j)/2$ in the above list by $(q+1)/2$, creating a gap, but retaining the same number of overturned arrows, so that $Q = 0$. However the momentum is increased by the amount $P = 2\pi(j+1)/M$. For large M, the effect of this hole on the p's is small, and it makes sense to expand them around the values appropriate to the $Q = P = 0$ state, the new set of p's differing from the latter by δp_j. Referring to the original equation for the p's, we find an equation for δp:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta p_l &= \frac{2\pi}{M}\theta(l-l_j) + \frac{2\pi}{M}\sum_{j\neq l}\left[-\frac{\partial\alpha_j}{\partial p_j}\delta p_j + \frac{\partial\alpha_l}{\partial p_l}\delta p_l\right]K(\alpha_l-\alpha_j) \\
\delta p_l\left(1 - \frac{\partial\alpha_l}{\partial p_l}\frac{2\pi}{M}\sum_{j\neq l}K(\alpha_l-\alpha_j)\right) &= \frac{2\pi}{M}\theta(l-l_j) - \frac{2\pi}{M}\sum_{j\neq l}\left[\frac{\partial\alpha_j}{\partial p_j}\delta p_l\right]K(\alpha_l-\alpha_j) \\
\delta p(\alpha)\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial p}R(\alpha) &= \frac{2\pi}{M}\theta(\alpha-\alpha_j) - \int d\beta\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial p}\delta p(\beta)R(\beta)K(\alpha-\beta), \qquad (89)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have replaced the sums by integrals in the last line. Defining

$$\chi(\alpha) = M\delta p(\alpha)R(\alpha)d\alpha/dp,$$

we have the integral equation

$$\chi(\alpha) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\beta K(\alpha-\beta)\chi(\beta) = 2\pi\theta(\alpha-\alpha_j). \qquad (90)$$

Here α_j marks the location of the "hole". It can be related to the value for the momentum of the excited state:

$$P = \frac{2\pi(j+1)}{M} = \sum_l \delta p_l = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\alpha}{2\pi}\chi(\alpha)\frac{dp}{d\alpha} \qquad (91)$$

Similarly, we can write the energy difference between the excited and ground state as

$$\begin{aligned}
E - E_0 &= \sum_l \delta p_l \frac{d\alpha_l}{dp_l}\frac{de(\alpha_l)}{d\alpha_l} \\
&= -4\sin\mu\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\alpha}{2\pi}\chi(\alpha)\left[\int d\lambda e^{-i\lambda\alpha}\frac{-i\lambda\sinh(\pi-\mu)\lambda}{\sinh\pi\lambda}\right]
\end{aligned} \qquad (92)$$

(90) can be immediately solved via Fourier transformation:

$$\chi(\alpha) \;=\; i\int d\lambda e^{-i(\alpha-\alpha_j)\lambda}\frac{\sinh\pi\lambda}{2(\lambda+i\epsilon)\sinh(\pi-\mu)\lambda\cosh\mu\lambda}, \tag{93}$$

and used to obtain the total momentum and energy

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \frac{i}{2}\int d\lambda\; e^{-i\lambda\alpha_j}\frac{1}{(-\lambda+i\epsilon)\cosh\mu\lambda} \\ E-E_0 &= 2\sin\mu\int d\lambda\; e^{-i\lambda\alpha_j}\frac{1}{\cosh\mu\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

Of course, we are interested in these expressions in the limit $\alpha_j \to \infty$, corresponding to the continuum limit. This asymptotic limit is obtained by deforming the integration contours into the lower half plane and picking up the nearest pole to the real axis, namely the one at $\lambda = -i\pi/2\mu$. This leads to

$$P \sim 2e^{-\pi\alpha_j/2\mu} \qquad\qquad E-E_0 \sim 2\frac{2\pi\sin\mu}{\mu}e^{-\pi\alpha_j/2\mu}, \tag{95}$$

from which we conclude that

$$E-E_0 = \frac{2\pi\sin\mu}{\mu}P = \frac{2\pi\sin\mu}{\mu}\frac{2\pi(j+1)}{M} \tag{96}$$

in the limit $M \to \infty$. Notice the important fact that the energy of these excitations is the factor $(2\pi\sin\mu)/\mu$, common to the other contributions to E, times a coefficient independent of μ. Although we have discussed only one particular "particle-hole" excitation, it is clear that the energy of the state with many particle-hole pairs will simply be additive in the momentum carried by each pair. Furthermore, there are two independent sets of such excitations about the two boundaries of the Fermi sea. Each particle hole excitation contributes $2\pi n T_0/P^+$, where $n > 0$. If there are several particle-hole pairs from the right side $p > 0$ of the Fermi sea, we define $N_R = \sum_i n_i$, and similarly N_L is defined for those from the left side $p < 0$ of the Fermi sea.

These contributions to the energy are added to those arising from non-zero Q, P. Note that the P^2 term in the energy receives negligible contributions from particle-hole excitations from the same side of the Fermi sea, since these have $P = O(1/M)$. This term is non-zero in the continuum limit only if the particle and hole are from opposite sides of the sea. For example, replacing $-(q+1)/2$ with $(q+1)/2$ contributes $2\pi q/M \approx \pi$ to P. But such large momentum pair excitations have already been accounted for among the excitations with consecutive I_l considered earlier. Thus the energy levels of the continuum limit are determined by Q, P, N_R, and N_L:

$$E-E_0 \;=\; \frac{2\pi\sin\mu}{\mu}\left[-\frac{\pi}{6}+\frac{\pi-\mu}{4}Q^2+\frac{1}{\pi-\mu}P^2+2\pi(N_R+N_L)\right]\frac{1}{M}. \tag{97}$$

Recall that $Q = 2r$ and $P = \pi s$ where r, s range independently over all integers. Here we have taken the liberty of inserting the $-\pi/(6M)$ correction, whose value should be the same relative to $2\pi(N_R + N_L)$ as in the $\Delta = 0$ case. This is because this term is just the zero point energy associated with the particle hole excitations [16].

References

[1] C. B. Thorn, "Reformulating string theory with the 1/N expansion," [arXiv: hep-th/9405069]; see also *Sakharov memorial lectures in physics, vol. 1*, Edited by L. V. Keldysh and V. Ya. Fainberg, (Nova Science Publishers, Commack, NY, 1992) 447-453.

[2] O. Bergman and C. B. Thorn, Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 5980 [hep-th/9506125]; C. B. Thorn, "Substructure of string," [arXiv: hep-th/9607204].

[3] G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys.* **B72** (1974) 461.

[4] C. B. Thorn, Phys. Rev. D **20** (1979) 1435.

[5] G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys.* **B342** (1990) 471; "On the Quantization of Space and Time," *Proc. of the 4th Seminar on Quantum Gravity*, 25–29 May 1987, Moscow, USSR, ed. M. A. Markov, (World Scientific Press, 1988); "Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity," gr-qc/9310026.

[6] S. Sun and C. B. Thorn, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 105002 [arXiv:1402.7362 [hep-th]].

[7] S. Sun, to appear.

[8] G. 't Hooft, Found. Phys. **43** (2013) 46.

[9] P. Goddard, C. Rebbi, C. B. Thorn, Nuovo Cim. **A12** (1972) 425-441; P. Goddard, J. Goldstone, C. Rebbi and C. B. Thorn, Nucl. Phys. B **56** (1973) 109; S. Mandelstam, Nucl. Phys. B **64** (1973) 205; Nucl. Phys. B **69** (1974) 77.

[10] R. Giles and C. B. Thorn, Phys. Rev. D **16** (1977) 366.

[11] H. A. Bethe, *Z. Phys.* **61** (1930) 206.

[12] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, *Phys. Rev.* **150** (1966) 321; *Phys. Rev.* **150** (1966) 327.

[13] F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and D. I. Olive, Nucl. Phys. B **122** (1977) 253; P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. D **3** (1971) 2415; A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B **31** (1971) 86; A. Neveu, J. H. Schwarz and C. B. Thorn, Phys. Lett. B **35** (1971) 529. C. B. Thorn, Phys. Rev. D **4** (1971) 1112; A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. D **4** (1971) 1109.

[14] K. Bardakci and M. B. Halpern, Phys. Rev. D **3** (1971) 2493; M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B **181** (1981) 502.

[15] R. Giles, L. D. McLerran and C. B. Thorn, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 2058 (1978).

[16] L. Brink and H. B. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. B **45** (1973) 332.

[17] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and L. Brink, Nucl. Phys. B **219** (1983) 437.